

A10025
C10212

1 Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)
2 Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)
3 Peter T. Sato (SBN 238486)
4 **YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI.**
5 An Association of Independent Law Corporations
6 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
7 Beverly Hills, California 90212
8 Telephone: 310.623.1926
9 Facsimile: 310.623.1930

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

MAR 07 2016

Sherrri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
By Ishayla Chambers, Deputy
Ishayla Chambers

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
11 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

DTP Bail Feuer
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

12 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,
13 in the public interest,

CASE NO. **BC 6 1 2 9 1 7**

14 Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY AND
INJUNCTION

15 v.

Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe
Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement
Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code, §*
25249.5, et seq.)

16 HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET, a
17 business entity form unknown; CTC FOOD
18 INTERNATIONAL, dba ORIENTAL
19 TRADING CO., a California Corporation;
20 FIRST WORLD ASIAN TRADING
21 CORPORATION, a California corporation;
22 PACIFIC EASTERN TRADING
23 CORPORATION, a California corporation;
24 VINH - SANH TRADING
25 CORPORATION, a California corporation;
26 ROXY TRADING INC., a California
27 corporation, and DOES 1-20;

ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL
CASE (exceeds \$25,000)

28 Defendants.

Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges a cause of action against
Defendants HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET, CTC FOOD INTERNATIONAL dba
ORIENTAL TRADING CO., FIRST WORLD ASIAN TRADING CORPORATION, PACIFIC

RECEIPT #: CCH539179075
DATE PAID: 03/07/16 03:02 PM
PAYMENT: \$435.00
RECEIVED:
CHECK: \$435.00
CASH: \$0.00
CHANGE: \$0.00
CARD: \$0.00

CIT/CASE: BC612917
LEA/DEF#:

1
COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC
ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

1 EASTERN TRADING CORPORATION., VINH – SANH TRADING CORPORATION,
2 ROXY TRADING INC., and DOES 1-20 as follows:

3 THE PARTIES

- 4 1. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") is an
5 organization qualified to do business in the State of California. CAG is a person within
6 the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (a). CAG, acting
7 as a private attorney general, brings this action in the public interest as defined under
8 Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).
- 9 2. Defendant HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET ("HOA BINH") is a business
10 entity form unknown, doing business in the State of California at all relevant times
11 herein.
- 12 3. Defendant CTC FOOD INTERNATIONAL, dba ORIENTAL TRADING CO. ("CTC"),
13 is a California corporation doing business in the State of California at all relevant times
14 herein.
- 15 4. Defendant FIRST WORLD ASIAN TRADING CORPORATION ("FIRST WORLD"),
16 a California corporation doing business in the State of California at all relevant times
17 herein.
- 18 5. Defendant PACIFIC EASTERN TRADING CORPORATION ("PACIFIC
19 EASTERN"), a California corporation doing business in the State of California at all
20 relevant times herein.
- 21 6. Defendant VINH – SANH TRADING CORPORATION ("VINH – SANH"), a
22 California corporation doing business in the State of California at all relevant times
23 herein.
- 24 7. Defendant ROXY TRADING INC. ("ROXY"), a California corporation doing business
25 in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
- 26 8. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1-
27 20, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend
28 this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is

1 informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is
2 responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused
3 thereby.

4 9. At all times mentioned herein, the term "Defendant" includes HOA BINH, CTC, FIRST
5 WORLD, PACIFIC EASTERN, VINH - SANH, ROXY, and DOES 1-20.

6 10. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants at all
7 times mentioned herein have conducted business within the State of California.

8 11. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants,
9 including DOES 1-20, was an agent, servant, or employee of each of the other
10 Defendants. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each of the
11 Defendants was acting within the course and scope of this agency, service, or
12 employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of
13 the other Defendants. All actions of each of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint
14 were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing
15 agents. Alternatively, each of the Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated
16 the alleged wrongful conduct of each of the other Defendants.

17 12. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each of the
18 Defendants was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code
19 section 25249.11, subdivision (b), and that each of the Defendants had ten (10) or more
20 employees at all relevant times.

21 **JURISDICTION**

22 13. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to California Constitution Article
23 VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except
24 those given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action
25 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement of
26 violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.

27 14. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either
28 reside or are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in

1 California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient
 2 business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise
 3 intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through their
 4 manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products within
 5 California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible
 6 under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

7 15. Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles because one or more of the instances of
 8 wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of Los Angeles and/or
 9 because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Los
 10 Angeles with respect to the consumer product that is the subject of this action.

11 **BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARY FACTS**

12 16. In 1986, California voters approved an initiative to address growing concerns about
 13 exposure to toxic chemicals and declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures
 14 to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Ballot Pamp.,
 15 Proposed Law, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p. 3. The initiative, The Safe Drinking
 16 Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code sections
 17 25249.5, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), helps to protect California's drinking water sources
 18 from contamination, to allow consumers to make informed choices about the products
 19 they buy, and to enable persons to protect themselves from toxic chemicals as they see
 20 fit.

21 17. Proposition 65 requires the Governor of California to publish a list of chemicals known
 22 to the state to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. *Health & Safety*
 23 *Code* § 25249.8. The list, which the Governor updates at least once a year, contains
 24 over 700 chemicals and chemical families. Proposition 65 imposes warning
 25 requirements and other controls that apply to Proposition 65-listed chemicals.

26 18. All businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate or sell products in
 27 California must comply with Proposition 65. Under Proposition 65, businesses are: (1)
 28 prohibited from knowingly discharging Proposition 65-listed chemicals into sources of

1 drinking water (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5), and (2) required to provide "clear and
2 reasonable" warnings before exposing a person, knowingly and intentionally, to a
3 Proposition 65-listed chemical (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6).

4 19. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute
5 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. *Health & Safety Code* §
6 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a
7 substantial probability that a violation will occur." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11(e).
8 Defendants are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation,
9 recoverable in a civil action. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b).

10 20. Plaintiff identified certain practices of manufacturers and distributors of products
11 bearing Lead and Lead Compounds ("LEAD"), exposing, knowingly and intentionally,
12 persons in California to said Proposition 65-listed chemical without first providing clear
13 and reasonable warnings to the exposed persons prior to the time of exposure. Plaintiff
14 later discerned that Defendants engaged in such practice.

15 21. On February 27, 1987 the Governor of California added Lead to the list of chemicals
16 known to the State to cause developmental and reproductive toxicity, and on October 1,
17 1992, the Governor added Lead and Lead Compounds to the list of chemicals known to
18 the State to cause cancer. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and
19 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of LEAD to the list of chemicals known to
20 the State to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity, LEAD became fully subject to
21 Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.

22 **SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE**

23 22. On or about June 12, 2015, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and
24 Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures, subject to a
25 private action to HOA BINH, and to the California Attorney General, County District
26 Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at least 750,000
27 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning Roasted
28 Seaweed containing LEAD.

- 1 23. On or about June 16, 2015, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and
- 2 Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures, subject to a
- 3 private action to HOA BINH, ROXY and to the California Attorney General, County
- 4 District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at least
- 5 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning
- 6 Dried Seaweed containing LEAD.
- 7 24. On or about June 22, 2015, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and
- 8 Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures, subject to a
- 9 private action to HOA BINH, CTC FOOD, and to the California Attorney General,
- 10 County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at
- 11 least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning
- 12 Roasted Seaweed containing LEAD.
- 13 25. On or about November 10, 2015, Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health
- 14 and Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures, subject to a
- 15 private action to HOA BINH, FIRST WORLD, PACIFIC EASTERN, VINH – SANH,
- 16 and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys
- 17 for each city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions
- 18 the violations allegedly occurred, concerning Canned Crabmeat with Legmeat
- 19 containing LEAD.
- 20 26. Before sending the notice of alleged violations, Plaintiff investigated the consumer
- 21 products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer
- 22 significant exposures to LEAD, and the corporate structure of each of the Defendants.
- 23 27. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violation included a Certificate of Merit executed by the
- 24 attorney for the noticing party, CAG. The Certificate of Merit stated that the attorney
- 25 for Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with
- 26 relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed data regarding the exposures to LEAD,
- 27 the subject Proposition 65-listed chemicals of this action. Based on that information, the
- 28 attorney for Plaintiff who executed the Certificate of Merit believed there was a

1 reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff
2 attached to the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General the confidential
3 factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit.

4 28. Plaintiff's notices of alleged violations also included a Certificate of Service and a
5 document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986
6 (Proposition 65) A Summary." *Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d)*.

7 29. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the dates that
8 Plaintiff gave notices of the alleged violation to HOA BINH, CTC, FIRST WORLD,
9 PACIFIC EASTERN, VINH – SANH, ROXY, and the public prosecutors referenced in
10 Paragraphs 22 through 25.

11 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor
12 any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently
13 prosecuting an action against the Defendants.

14 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 (By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against HOA BINH POMONA
16 SUPERMARKET INC. and DOES 1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe
17 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code, §§ 25249.5, et*
seq.))

18 **B&C Roasted Seaweed**

19 31. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by
20 reference paragraphs 1 through 30 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
21 Each of Defendants HOA BINH POMONA SUPERMARKET INC. and DOES 1-20 is,
22 and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer
23 of Roasted Seaweed, which includes but is not limited to, ""B&C", "Roasted Seaweeds,
24 Yaki Sushi Nori" "Gold" "NET WT. 5.0 OZ (140g) 50 Sheets" Product Of China, UPC
25 "6 920423 929792" ("B&C ROASTED SEAWEED").

26 32. B&C ROASTED SEAWEED contains LEAD.

27 33. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of
28 California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore

1 was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of
2 the presence of LEAD in B&C ROASTED SEAWEED within Plaintiff's notice of
3 alleged violations further discussed above at Paragraphs 22.

4 34. Plaintiff's allegations regarding B&C ROASTED SEAWEED concerns "[c]onsumer
5 products exposure[s]," which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition,
6 purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer
7 good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.*
8 tit. 27, § 25602(b). B&C ROASTED SEAWEED is a consumer product, and, as
9 mentioned herein, exposures to LEAD took place as a result of such normal and
10 foreseeable use.

11 35. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between June 12, 2012 and the
12 present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their California
13 consumers and users of B&C ROASTED SEAWEED, which Defendants manufactured,
14 distributed, or sold as mentioned above, to Lead, without first providing any type of
15 clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of
16 exposure. Defendants have distributed and sold B&C ROASTED SEAWEED in
17 California. Defendants know and intend that California consumers will use B&C
18 ROASTED SEAWEED, thereby exposing them to LEAD. Defendants thereby violated
19 Proposition 65.

20 36. The principal routes of exposure were through ingestion, including hand to mouth
21 pathways, and inhalation and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustained exposures by
22 eating and consuming B&C ROASTED SEAWEED, handling B&C ROASTED
23 SEAWEED without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucus membranes with
24 gloves after handling B&C ROASTED SEAWEED, or through direct and indirect hand
25 to mouth contact, hand to food to mouth, direct contact to food then to mouth, hand to
26 mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter emanating from B&C ROASTED
27 SEAWEED, as well as through environmental mediums that carry the LEAD once
28 contained within the B&C ROASTED SEAWEED.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

37. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of Proposition 65 as to B&C ROASTED SEAWEED have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of B&C ROASTED SEAWEED, so that a separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to LEAD by B&C ROASTED SEAWEED as mentioned herein.

38. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.

39. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to LEAD from B&C ROASTED SEAWEED, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

40. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against HOA BINH, ROXY, and DOES 1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, §§ 25249.5, et seq.))

ROXY Dried Seaweed

41. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein. Each of Defendants HOA BINH, ROXY, and DOES 1-20 is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer of Dried Seaweed, which includes but is not limited to, "ROXY Dried Seaweed, Nt Wt. 1.5oz (42.5g), Product of China. UPC: 0 51299 17027 1" ("ROXY DRIED SEAWEED").

42. ROXY DRIED SEAWEED contains LEAD.

1 43. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of
2 California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore
3 was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of
4 the presence of LEAD in ROXY DRIED SEAWEED within Plaintiff's notice of alleged
5 violations further discussed above at Paragraphs 23.

6 44. Plaintiff's allegations regarding ROXY DRIED SEAWEED concerns "[c]onsumer
7 products exposure[s]," which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition,
8 purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer
9 good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.*
10 tit. 27, § 25602(b). ROXY DRIED SEAWEED is a consumer product, and, as
11 mentioned herein, exposures to LEAD took place as a result of such normal and
12 foreseeable use.

13 45. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between June 16, 2012 and the
14 present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their California
15 consumers and users of ROXY DRIED SEAWEED, which Defendants manufactured,
16 distributed, or sold as mentioned above, to Lead, without first providing any type of
17 clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of
18 exposure. Defendants have distributed and sold ROXY DRIED SEAWEED in
19 California. Defendants know and intend that California consumers will use ROXY
20 DRIED SEAWEED, thereby exposing them to LEAD. Defendants thereby violated
21 Proposition 65.

22 46. The principal routes of exposure were through ingestion, including hand to mouth
23 pathways, and inhalation and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustained exposures by
24 eating and consuming ROXY DRIED SEAWEED, handling ROXY DRIED SEAWEED
25 without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucus membranes with gloves after
26 handling ROXY DRIED SEAWEED, or through direct and indirect hand to mouth
27 contact, hand to food to mouth, direct contact to food then to mouth, hand to mucous
28 membrane, or breathing in particulate matter emanating from ROXY DRIED

1 SEAWEED, as well as through environmental mediums that carry the LEAD once
2 contained within the ROXY DRIED SEAWEED.

3 47. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of
4 Proposition 65 as to ROXY DRIED SEAWEED have been ongoing and continuous to
5 the date of the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage
6 in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the
7 manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of ROXY DRIED SEAWEED, so that a
8 separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person
9 was exposed to LEAD by ROXY DRIED SEAWEED as mentioned herein.

10 48. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65
11 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the
12 violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.

13 49. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to
14 \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to LEAD from ROXY DRIED SEAWEED,
15 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

16 50. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to
17 filing this Complaint.

18 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

19 **(By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against HOA BINH, CTC, and DOES**
20 **1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act**
21 **of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, §§ 25249.5, et seq.))**

22 **Orchido Roasted Seaweed**

23 51. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by
24 reference paragraphs 1 through 50 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
25 Each of Defendants HOA BINH, CTC, and DOES 1-20 is, and at all times mentioned
26 herein was, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer of Roasted Seaweed, which
27 includes but is not limited to, "Orchido® Roasted Seaweed, Net Wt. (0.17oz, 5g) 10
28

1 Sheets. Distributed by Oriental Trading Co., 'DOP4150' UPC: 0 74601 00867 3"

2 ("ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED")

3 52. ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED contains LEAD.

4 53. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of
5 California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore
6 was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of
7 the presence of LEAD in ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED within Plaintiff's notice of
8 alleged violations further discussed above at Paragraphs 24.

9 54. Plaintiff's allegations regarding ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED concerns
10 "[c]onsumer products exposure[s]," which "is an exposure that results from a person's
11 acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a
12 consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal.*
13 *Code Regs.* tit. 27, § 25602(b). ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED is a consumer
14 product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to LEAD took place as a result of such
15 normal and foreseeable use.

16 55. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between June 22, 2012 and the
17 present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their California
18 consumers and users of ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, which Defendants
19 manufactured, distributed, or sold as mentioned above, to Lead, without first providing
20 any type of clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time
21 of exposure. Defendants have distributed and sold ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED
22 in California. Defendants know and intend that California consumers will use
23 ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, thereby exposing them to LEAD. Defendants
24 thereby violated Proposition 65.

25 56. The principal routes of exposure were through ingestion, including hand to mouth
26 pathways, and inhalation and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustained exposures by
27 eating and consuming ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, handling ORCHIDO
28 ROASTED SEAWEED without wearing gloves or by touching bare skin or mucus

membranes with gloves after handling ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, or through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to food to mouth, direct contact to food then to mouth, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter emanating from ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, as well as through environmental mediums that carry the LEAD once contained within the ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED.

57. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of Proposition 65 as to ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, so that a separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to LEAD by ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED as mentioned herein.

58. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.

59. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to LEAD from ORCHIDO ROASTED SEAWEED, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

60. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.

22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///
26 ///
27 ///
28 ///

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against HOA BINH, FIRST WORLD, PACIFIC EASTERN, VINH – SANH, and DOES 1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code, §§ 25249.5, et seq.*))

Canned Crabmeat with Legmeat

61. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 60 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein. Each of Defendants HOA BINH, FIRST WORLD, PACIFIC EASTERN, VINH – SANH, and DOES 1-20 is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer of Canned Crabmeat with Legmeat, which includes but is not limited to, (1) “ASUKA® Brand, Crabmeat with Legmeat. Net. Wt. 6 ½ OZ (185g), DR.WT. 3 ½ OZ (112g). Distributed By: Vinh Sanh Trading Corp., www.vinhsanh.com. UPC: 7 37483 20028 2” (“CANNED CRAB”)

62. CANNED CRAB contains LEAD.

63. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of the presence of LEAD in CANNED CRAB within Plaintiff’s notice of alleged violations further discussed above at Paragraphs 25.

64. Plaintiff’s allegations regarding CANNED CRAB concerns “[c]onsumer products exposure[s],” which “is an exposure that results from a person’s acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service.” *Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 25602(b)*. CANNED CRAB is a consumer product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to LEAD took place as a result of such normal and foreseeable use.

65. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between November 10, 2012 and the present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their California consumers and users of CANNED CRAB, which Defendants manufactured, distributed,

1 or sold as mentioned above, to Lead, without first providing any type of clear and
2 reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of exposure.

3 Defendants have distributed and sold CANNED CRAB in California. Defendants know
4 and intend that California consumers will use CANNED CRAB, thereby exposing them
5 to LEAD. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.

6 66. The principal routes of exposure were through ingestion, including hand to mouth
7 pathways, and inhalation and trans-dermal absorption. Persons sustained exposures by
8 eating and consuming CANNED CRAB, handling CANNED CRAB without wearing
9 gloves or by touching bare skin or mucus membranes with gloves after handling
10 CANNED CRAB, or through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to food to
11 mouth, direct contact to food then to mouth, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in
12 particulate matter emanating from CANNED CRAB, as well as through environmental
13 mediums that carry the LEAD once contained within the CANNED CRAB.

14 67. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of
15 Proposition 65 as to CANNED CRAB have been ongoing and continuous to the date of
16 the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct
17 which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture,
18 distribution, promotion, and sale of CANNED CRAB , so that a separate and distinct
19 violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to
20 LEAD by CANNED CRAB as mentioned herein.

21 68. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65
22 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the
23 violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.

24 69. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to
25 \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to LEAD from CANNED CRAB, pursuant to
26 Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

27 70. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to
28 filing this Complaint.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands against each of the Defendants as follows:

1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65-compliant warnings;
2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b);
3. Costs of suit;
4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: March 4, 2016

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI

BY: _____

Reuben Yeroushalmi
Attorneys for Plaintiff,
Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

CM-010

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN-193981) Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W Beverly Hills, CA 90212 TELEPHONE NO: 310.623.1926 FAX NO: 310.623.1930		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles MAR 07 2016 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk By <u><i>[Signature]</i></u> , Deputy Ishayla Chambers
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Los Angeles STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 90012 BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courthouse		
CASE NAME: Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. Hoa Binh Pomona Supermarket, et al.		CASE NUMBER: BC 612917
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unlimited (Amount demanded exceeds \$25,000) <input type="checkbox"/> Limited (Amount demanded is \$25,000 or less)	Complex Case Designation <input type="checkbox"/> Counter <input type="checkbox"/> Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	JUDGE: DEPT:

Items 1-6 below must be completed (see instructions on page 2).

1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes this case:

Auto Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Auto (22) <input type="checkbox"/> Uninsured motorist (46)	Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Breach of contract/warranty (06) <input type="checkbox"/> Rule 3.740 collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Other collections (09) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage (18) <input type="checkbox"/> Other contract (37)	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403) <input type="checkbox"/> Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) <input type="checkbox"/> Construction defect (10) <input type="checkbox"/> Mass tort (40) <input type="checkbox"/> Securities litigation (28) <input type="checkbox"/> Environmental/Toxic tort (30) <input type="checkbox"/> Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case types (41)
Other PIP/D/W (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Asbestos (04) <input type="checkbox"/> Product liability (24) <input type="checkbox"/> Medical malpractice (45) <input type="checkbox"/> Other PIP/D/W (23)	Real Property <input type="checkbox"/> Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful eviction (33) <input type="checkbox"/> Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement of judgment (20)
Non-PIP/D/W (Other) Tort <input type="checkbox"/> Business tort/unfair business practice (07) <input type="checkbox"/> Civil rights (08) <input type="checkbox"/> Defamation (13) <input type="checkbox"/> Fraud (16) <input type="checkbox"/> Intellectual property (19) <input type="checkbox"/> Professional negligence (25) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other non-PIP/D/W tort (35)	Unlawful Detainer <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial (31) <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (32) <input type="checkbox"/> Drugs (38)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint <input type="checkbox"/> RICO (27) <input type="checkbox"/> Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Employment <input type="checkbox"/> Wrongful termination (36) <input type="checkbox"/> Other employment (15)	Judicial Review <input type="checkbox"/> Asset forfeiture (05) <input type="checkbox"/> Petition re: arbitration award (11) <input type="checkbox"/> Writ of mandate (02) <input type="checkbox"/> Other judicial review (39)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Partnership and corporate governance (21) <input type="checkbox"/> Other petition (not specified above) (43)

2. This case is is not complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the factors requiring exceptional judicial management:

a. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of separately represented parties	d. <input type="checkbox"/> Large number of witnesses
b. <input type="checkbox"/> Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel issues that will be time-consuming to resolve	e. <input type="checkbox"/> Coordination with related actions pending in one or more courts in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial amount of documentary evidence	f. <input type="checkbox"/> Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision

3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a. monetary b. nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive

4. Number of causes of action (specify): 4

5. This case is is not a class action suit.

6. If there are any known related cases, file and serve a notice of related case. (You may use form CM-015.)

Date: **03/04/2016**
Reuben Yeroushalmi
 (TYPE OR PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)

NOTICE

- Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper filed in the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result in sanctions.
- File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule.
- If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all other parties to the action or proceeding.
- Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only.

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

- Auto (22)—Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death
- Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other P/DPD/W (Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort

- Asbestos (04)
 - Asbestos Property Damage
 - Asbestos Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
- Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
- Medical Malpractice (45)
 - Medical Malpractice—Physicians & Surgeons
- Other Professional Health Care Malpractice
- Other P/DPD/W (23)
 - Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
 - Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/W (e.g., assault, vandalism)
 - Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
 - Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress

Non-P/DPD/W (Other) Tort

- Business Tort/Unfair Business Practice (07)
 - Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)
 - Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)
 - Fraud (16)
 - Intellectual Property (19)
 - Professional Negligence (25)
 - Legal Malpractice
 - Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
- Other Non-P/DPD/W Tort (35)

Employment

- Wrongful Termination (36)
- Other Employment (15)

Contract

- Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)
 - Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)
- Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
- Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
- Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09)
 - Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
- Other Promissory Note/Collections Case
- Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)
 - Auto Subrogation
 - Other Coverage
- Other Contract (37)
 - Contractual Fraud
 - Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

- Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)
- Wrongful Eviction (33)
- Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)
 - Writ of Possession of Real Property
 - Mortgage Foreclosure
 - Quiet Title
 - Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

- Commercial (31)
- Residential (32)
- Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

- Asset Forfeiture (05)
- Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)
- Writ of Mandate (02)
 - Writ—Administrative Mandamus
 - Writ—Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter
 - Writ—Other Limited Court Case
- Review
 - Other Judicial Review (39)
 - Review of Health Officer Order
 - Notice of Appeal—Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400–3.403)

- Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
- Construction Defect (10)
- Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
- Securities Litigation (28)
- Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
- Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

- Enforcement of Judgment (20)
 - Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
 - Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)
 - Sister State Judgment
 - Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)
 - Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
 - Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

- RICO (27)
- Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)
 - Declaratory Relief Only
 - Injunctive Relief Only (non-harassment)
 - Mechanics Lien
 - Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
 - Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

- Partnership and Corporate Governance (21)
- Other Petition (not specified above) (43)
 - Civil Harassment
 - Workplace Violence
 - Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse
 - Election Contest
 - Petition for Name Change
 - Petition for Relief From Late Claim
 - Other Civil Petition

SHORT TITLE: Consumer Advocacy Group v. Hoa Binh Pomona Supermarket	CASE NUMBER BC 6 1 2 9 1 7
--	-------------------------------

**CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION
(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)**

This form is required pursuant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 3-5 HOURS/ DAYS

Item II. Select the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Class Actions must be filed in the County Courthouse, Central District. | 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. |
| 2. May be filed in Central (Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). | 7. Location where petitioner resides. |
| 3. Location where cause of action arose. | 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. |
| 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. | 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside. |
| 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides. | 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office. |

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort
 Auto Tort
 Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Uninsured Motorist (46)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death - Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Asbestos (04)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6070 Asbestos Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. 2.
Product Liability (24)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
Medical Malpractice (45)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons <input type="checkbox"/> A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) <input type="checkbox"/> A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress <input type="checkbox"/> A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
Business Tort (07)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/
 Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)
 Employment
 Contract
 Real Property
 Unlawful Detainer
 Judicial Review

SHORT TITLE: Consumer Advocacy Group v. Hoa Binh Pomona Supermarket	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6017 Legal Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2., 3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case <input type="checkbox"/> A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) (not insurance)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) <input type="checkbox"/> A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) <input type="checkbox"/> A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) <input type="checkbox"/> A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff <input type="checkbox"/> A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6009 Contractual Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> A6031 Tortious Interference <input type="checkbox"/> A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	<input type="checkbox"/> A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels _____	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> A6032 Quiet Title <input type="checkbox"/> A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Petition re Arbitration (11)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

OTI
27
07
01
07
07
07

SHORT TITLE: Consumer Advocacy Group v. Hoa Binh Pomona Supermarket	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)

Provisionally Complex Litigation

Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaints

Miscellaneous Civil Petitions

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Writ of Mandate (02)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.
Other Judicial Review (39)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6005 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Securities Litigation (28)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment (20)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	<input type="checkbox"/> A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6190 Election Contest	2.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
	<input type="checkbox"/> A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
<input type="checkbox"/> A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.	

SHORT TITLE: Consumer Advocacy Group v. Hoa Binh Pomona Supermarket	CASE NUMBER
--	-------------

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE <input type="checkbox"/> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10.			ADDRESS: 9100 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 240W
CITY: Beverly Hills	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90212	

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the Central District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq. and LASC Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)).

[Handwritten signature]

Dated: March 4, 2016

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

1. Original Complaint or Petition.
2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22