

1 Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)
2 Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409)
3 Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540)
4 **YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI**
5 An Association of Independent Law Corporations
6 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W
7 Beverly Hills, California 90212
8 Telephone: 310.623.1926
9 Facsimile: 310.623.1930

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
11 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

A 6025
90212
DEPT. 71
SUZANNE B.
BRUBORA

FILED
Superior Court of California
County Of Los Angeles

APR 21 2015

Sherri ... *[Signature]* ...
By *[Signature]* Deputy
Jud. Law

12 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**
13 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

14 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC.,
15 in the public interest,

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 ANHING CORPORATION, a California
19 Corporation; GREEN FARM MARKET, a
20 business entity form unknown; and DOES 1-
21 20;

22 Defendants.

23 CASE NO. **BC 579481**

24 COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY AND
25 INJUNCTION

26 Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe
27 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement
28 Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code*, §
25249.5, *et seq.*)

ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL
CASE (exceeds \$25,000)

29 Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges a cause of action against
30 Defendants ANHING CORPORATION, GREEN FARM MARKET and DOES 1-20 as follows:

31 **THE PARTIES**

- 32 1. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") is an
33 organization qualified to do business in the State of California. CAG is a person within
34 the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (a). CAG, acting
35 as a private attorney general, brings this action in the public interest as defined under
36 Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).

37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

ORIGINAL

RECEIPT #: CHS20872102
DATE PAID: 04/21/15 02:45 PM
PAYMENT: \$45.00
RECEIVED
CHARGE: \$45.00
CHECK: \$45.00
CARD: \$0.00

CIT/CASE: BC579481
LEA/DEF#:

- 1 2. Defendant ANHING CORPORATION ("ANHING"), is a California Corporation doing
2 business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
- 3 3. Defendant GREEN FARM MARKET ("GREEN FARM"), is a business entity form
4 unknown, doing business in the State of California at all relative times herein.
- 5 4. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1-20,
6 and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this
7 complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is
8 informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is
9 responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused
10 thereby.
- 11 5. At all times mentioned herein, the term "Defendants" includes ANHING, GREEN
12 FARM and DOES 1-20.
- 13 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants at all
14 times mentioned herein have conducted business within the State of California.
- 15 7. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action; each of the Defendants,
16 including DOES 1-20, was an agent, servant, or employee of each of the other
17 Defendants. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each of the
18 Defendants was acting within the course and scope of this agency, service, or
19 employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of
20 the other Defendants. All actions of each of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint
21 were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing agents.
22 Alternatively, each of the Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated the alleged
23 wrongful conduct of each of the other Defendants.
- 24 8. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each of the
25 Defendants was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code
26 section 25249.11, subdivision (b), and that each of the Defendants had ten (10) or more
27 employees at all relevant times.

28 ///

JURISDICTION

- 1
- 2 9. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to California Constitution Article
- 3 VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except
- 4 those given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action
- 5 pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement of
- 6 violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.
- 7 10. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either
- 8 reside or are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in
- 9 California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient
- 10 business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise
- 11 intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through their manufacture,
- 12 distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products within California to render
- 13 the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions
- 14 of fair play and substantial justice.
- 15 11. Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles because one or more of the instances of
- 16 wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of Los Angeles and/or
- 17 because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Los
- 18 Angeles with respect to the consumer product that is the subject of this action.

BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARY FACTS

- 19
- 20 12. In 1986, California voters approved an initiative to address growing concerns about
- 21 exposure to toxic chemicals and declared their right “[t]o be informed about exposures to
- 22 chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm.” Ballot Pamp.,
- 23 Proposed Law, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p. 3. The initiative, The Safe Drinking
- 24 Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code sections
- 25 25249.5, *et seq.* (“Proposition 65”), helps to protect California’s drinking water sources
- 26 from contamination, to allow consumers to make informed choices about the products
- 27 they buy, and to enable persons to protect themselves from toxic chemicals as they see
- 28 fit.

- 1 13. Proposition 65 requires the Governor of California to publish a list of chemicals known to
2 the state to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. *Health & Safety Code*
3 § 25249.8. The list, which the Governor updates at least once a year, contains over 700
4 chemicals and chemical families. Proposition 65 imposes warning requirements and
5 other controls that apply to Proposition 65-listed chemicals.
- 6 14. All businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate or sell products in California
7 must comply with Proposition 65. Under Proposition 65, businesses are: (1) prohibited
8 from knowingly discharging Proposition 65-listed chemicals into sources of drinking
9 water (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5), and (2) required to provide "clear and
10 reasonable" warnings before exposing a person, knowingly and intentionally, to a
11 Proposition 65-listed chemical (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6).
- 12 15. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute
13 may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7.
14 "Threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial
15 probability that a violation will occur." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11(e).
16 Defendants are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation,
17 recoverable in a civil action. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b).
- 18 16. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals
19 known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c)).
20 lead is known to the State to cause developmental, female, and male reproductive
21 toxicity. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20)
22 months after addition of lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause
23 reproductive toxicity, lead became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements
24 and discharge prohibitions.
- 25 17. On October 1, 1992, the Governor of California added lead and lead compounds to the
26 list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(b)).
27 Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months
28 after addition of lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to

1 cause cancer, lead and lead compounds became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning
2 requirements and discharge prohibitions.

3 18. Plaintiff identified certain practices of manufacturers and distributors of lead and lead
4 compounds ("LEAD")-bearing products of exposing, knowingly and intentionally,
5 persons in California to the Proposition 65-listed chemicals of such products without first
6 providing clear and reasonable warnings of such to the exposed persons prior to the time
7 of exposure. Plaintiff later discerned that Defendants engaged in such practice.

8
9 **SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE**

10 19. On or about September 4, 2014 Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and
11 Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures subject to a
12 private action to ANHING, GREEN FARM and to the California Attorney General,
13 County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at
14 least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning
15 the product seaweed, containing LEAD.

16 20. Before sending the notice of alleged violations, Plaintiff investigated the consumer
17 products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer
18 significant exposures to LEAD and the corporate structure of each of the Defendants.

19 21. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violation included a Certificate of Merit executed by the
20 attorney for the noticing party, CAG. The Certificate of Merit stated that the attorney for
21 Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant
22 and appropriate expertise who reviewed data regarding the exposures to LEAD, the
23 subject Proposition 65-listed chemicals of this action. Based on that information, the
24 attorney for Plaintiff who executed the Certificate of Merit believed there was a
25 reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff attached
26 to the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General the confidential factual
27 information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit.

1 22. Plaintiff's notices of alleged violations also ~~included~~ a Certificate of Service and a
2 document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986
3 (Proposition 65) A Summary." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(d).

4 23. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the dates that Plaintiff
5 gave notices of the alleged violation to ANHING, GREEN FARM, and the public
6 prosecutors referenced in Paragraph 19.

7 24. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor
8 any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently
9 prosecuting an action against the Defendants.

10 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

11 (By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against ANHING CORPORATION,
12 GREEN FARM MARKET and DOES 1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe
13 Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (*Health & Safety Code*, §§ 25249.5, *et*
14 *seq.*))

15 **Seaweed**

16 25. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by
17 reference paragraphs 1 through 24 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein. Each
18 of the Defendants is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufacturer, distributor,
19 promoter, or retailer of seaweed, which includes but is not limited to "DaiJyoBu®
20 Premium Grade ROASTED SEASONED SEAWEED; NET WT. 0.17 oz(5 g);
21 CARAVELLE® PACKED FOR: ANHING CORPORATION LOS ANGELES, CA
22 90031; Product of Korea; Barcode: 0 80736 12354 1" ("SEAWEED").

23 26. SEAWEED contains LEAD.

24 27. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of
25 California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore
26 was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of
27 the presence of LEAD in SEAWEED within Plaintiff's notice of alleged violations
28 further discussed above at Paragraph 19.

1 28. Plaintiff's allegations regarding SEAWEED concern "[c]onsumer products exposure[s],"
2 which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage,
3 consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure
4 that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27, § 25602(b).
5 SEAWEED is a consumer product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to lead took
6 place as a result of such normal and foreseeable consumption and use.

7 29. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between September 4, 2011, and
8 the present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed their employees,
9 California consumers of SEAWEED, which Defendants manufactured, distributed, or
10 sold as mentioned above, to LEAD without first providing any type of clear and
11 reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of exposure.

12 Defendants have distributed and sold SEAWEED in California. Defendants know and
13 intend that California consumers will use and consume SEAWEED, thereby exposing
14 them to LEAD. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.

15 30. The principal routes of exposure are through dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation.
16 Persons sustain exposures by eating and consuming SEAWEED, handling SEAWEED
17 without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare
18 skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling SEAWEED, as well as through
19 direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in
20 particulate matter dispersed from SEAWEED.

21 31. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of
22 Proposition 65 as to SEAWEED have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the
23 signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct
24 which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture,
25 distribution, promotion, and sale of SEAWEED, so that a separate and distinct violation
26 of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to lead by
27 SEAWEED as mentioned herein.
28

1 32. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65
2 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the
3 violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.

4 33. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to
5 \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to lead from SEAWEED, pursuant to Health
6 and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).

7 34. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to
8 filing this Complaint.

9 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

10 Plaintiff demands against each of the Defendants as follows:

- 11 1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65-compliant warnings;
12 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b);
13 3. Costs of suit;
14 4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
15 5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

16
17 Dated: April 21, 2015

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHLAMI

18
19 BY: _____

20 Reuben Yeroushalmi
21 Attorney for Plaintiff,
22 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.
23
24
25
26
27
28