EA/DEF#:

1 Daniel D. Cho (SBN 105409) Ben Yeroushalmi (SBN 232540) 2 YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHALMI Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles : 3 An Association of Independent Law Corporations 9100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 240W 4 Beverly Hills, California 90212 MAR 17 2015 Telephone: 310.623.1926 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk 5 Facsimile: 310.623.1930 6 Myrna Beltran Attorneys for Plaintiff, 7 Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** 10 11 CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC., CASE NO. BC 5 7 5 8 1 1 in the public interest, 12 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR PENALTY AND 13 **INJUNCTION** 14 v. Violation of Proposition 65, the Safe 15 BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION, a Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Delaware Corporation; GRUPO Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, § 16 COMERCIAL CHEDRAUI, S.A. DE C.V., a 25249.5, et sea.) 17 Mexico Corporation; PRODUCTORA Y COMERCIALIZADORA DE PRODUCTOS ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL 18 S.A. DE C.V., a Mexico Corporation; and CASE (exceeds \$25,000) DOES 1-20; 19 20 Defendants. 21 -22 Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. alleges a cause of action against - 4 23 Defendants and BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION, GRUPO COMERCIAL CHEDRAUR 24 V S.A. DE C.V., PRODUCTORA Y COMERCIALIZADORA DE PRODUCTOS S 25 and DOES 1-20 as follows: 26

Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN 193981)

27

28

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF PROPOSITION 65, THE SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986 (HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE § 25249.5, ET SEQ.)

رب

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. ("Plaintiff" or "CAG") is an organization qualified to do business in the State of California. CAG is a person within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (a). CAG, acting as a private attorney general, brings this action in the public interest as defined under Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (d).
- 2. Defendant BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION ("BODEGA LATINA"), is a Delaware corporation doing business in the State of California at all relevant times herein.
- 3. Defendant GRUPO COMERCIAL CHEDRAUI, S.A. DE C.V. ("CHEDRAUI"), is a Mexico corporation doing business in the State of California at all relative times herein.
- Defendant PRODUCTORA Y COMERCIALIZADORA DE PRODUCTOS S.A. DE C.V. ("PRODUCTORA"), is a Mexico corporation doing business in the State of California at all relative times herein.
- 5. Plaintiff is presently unaware of the true names and capacities of defendants DOES 1-20, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this complaint to allege their true names and capacities when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each fictitiously named defendant is responsible in some manner for the occurrences herein alleged and the damages caused thereby.
- 6. At all times mentioned herein, the term "Defendants" includes BODEGA LATINA, CHEDRAUI, PRODUCTORA and DOES 1-20.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that each of the Defendants at all times mentioned herein have conducted business within the State of California.
- 8. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this action, each of the Defendants, including DOES 1-20, was an agent, servant, or employee of each of the other Defendants. In conducting the activities alleged in this Complaint, each of the Defendants was acting within the course and scope of this agency, service, or employment, and was acting with the consent, permission, and authorization of each of

the other Defendants. All actions of each of the Defendants alleged in this Complaint were ratified and approved by every other Defendant or their officers or managing agents. Alternatively, each of the Defendants aided, conspired with and/or facilitated the alleged wrongful conduct of each of the other Defendants.

9. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was a person doing business within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 25249.11, subdivision (b), and that each of the Defendants had ten (10) or more employees at all relevant times.

JURISDICTION

- 10. The Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, which allows enforcement of violations of Proposition 65 in any Court of competent jurisdiction.
- 11. This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants named herein because Defendants either reside or are located in this State or are foreign corporations authorized to do business in California, are registered with the California Secretary of State, or who do sufficient business in California, have sufficient minimum contacts with California, or otherwise intentionally avail themselves of the markets within California through their manufacture, distribution, promotion, marketing, or sale of their products within California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 12. Venue is proper in the County of Los Angeles because one or more of the instances of wrongful conduct occurred, and continues to occur, in the County of Los Angeles and/or because Defendants conducted, and continue to conduct, business in the County of Los Angeles with respect to the consumer product that is the subject of this action.

BACKGROUND AND PRELIMINARY FACTS

- 13. In 1986, California voters approved an initiative to address growing concerns about exposure to toxic chemicals and declared their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Ballot Pamp., Proposed Law, Gen. Elec. (Nov. 4, 1986) at p. 3. The initiative, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health and Safety Code sections 25249.5, et seq. ("Proposition 65"), helps to protect California's drinking water sources from contamination, to allow consumers to make informed choices about the products they buy, and to enable persons to protect themselves from toxic chemicals as they see fit.
- 14. Proposition 65 requires the Governor of California to publish a list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.8. The list, which the Governor updates at least once a year, contains over 700 chemicals and chemical families. Proposition 65 imposes warning requirements and other controls that apply to Proposition 65-listed chemicals.
- 15. All businesses with ten (10) or more employees that operate or sell products in California must comply with Proposition 65. Under Proposition 65, businesses are: (1) prohibited from knowingly discharging Proposition 65-listed chemicals into sources of drinking water (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.5), and (2) required to provide "clear and reasonable" warnings before exposing a person, knowingly and intentionally, to a Proposition 65-listed chemical (*Health & Safety Code* § 25249.6).
- 16. Proposition 65 provides that any person "violating or threatening to violate" the statute may be enjoined in any court of competent jurisdiction. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7. "Threaten to violate" means "to create a condition in which there is a substantial probability that a violation will occur." *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.11(e). Defendants are also liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per violation, recoverable in a civil action. *Health & Safety Code* § 25249.7(b).

- 17. On February 27, 1987, the Governor of California added lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(c)). lead is known to the State to cause developmental, female, and male reproductive toxicity. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of lead to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause reproductive toxicity, lead became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 18. On October 1, 1992, the Governor of California added lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 27, § 27001(b)). Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25249.9 and 25249.10, twenty (20) months after addition of lead and lead compounds to the list of chemicals known to the State to cause cancer, lead and lead compounds became fully subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements and discharge prohibitions.
- 19. Plaintiff identified certain practices of manufacturers and distributors of lead and lead compounds ("LEAD")-bearing products of exposing, knowingly and intentionally, persons in California to the Proposition 65-listed chemicals of such products without first providing clear and reasonable warnings of such to the exposed persons prior to the time of exposure. Plaintiff later discerned that Defendants engaged in such practice.

SATISFACTION OF PRIOR NOTICE

20. On or about September 5, 2014 Plaintiff gave notice of alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, concerning consumer products exposures subject to a private action to BODEGA LATINA, CHEDRAUI, PRODUCTORA and to the California Attorney General, County District Attorneys, and City Attorneys for each city containing a population of at least 750,000 people in whose jurisdictions the violations allegedly occurred, concerning the product Caramel Coating, containing LEAD.

//

- 21. Before sending the notice of alleged violations, Plaintiff investigated the consumer products involved, the likelihood that such products would cause users to suffer significant exposures to LEAD and the corporate structure of each of the Defendants.
- 22. Plaintiff's notice of alleged violation included a Certificate of Merit executed by the attorney for the noticing party, CAG. The Certificate of Merit stated that the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the certificate had consulted with at least one person with relevant and appropriate expertise who reviewed data regarding the exposures to LEAD, the subject Proposition 65-listed chemicals of this action. Based on that information, the attorney for Plaintiff who executed the Certificate of Merit believed there was a reasonable and meritorious case for this private action. The attorney for Plaintiff attached to the Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General the confidential factual information sufficient to establish the basis of the Certificate of Merit.
- 23. Plaintiff's notices of alleged violations also included a Certificate of Service and a document entitled "The Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Proposition 65) A Summary." Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d).
- 24. Plaintiff is commencing this action more than sixty (60) days from the dates that Plaintiff gave notices of the alleged violation to BODEGA LATINA, CHEDRAUI, PRODUCTORA and the public prosecutors referenced in Paragraphs 20.
- 25. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that neither the Attorney General, nor any applicable district attorney or city attorney has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against the Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. and against BODEGA LATINA CORPORATION, GRUPO COMERCIAL CHEDRAUI, S.A. DE C.V., PRODUCTORA Y COMERCIALIZADORA DE PRODUCTOS S.A. DE C.V. and DOES 1-20 for Violations of Proposition 65, The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 (Health & Safety Code, §§ 25249.5, et seq.))

Caramel Coating

- 26. Plaintiff CONSUMER ADVOCACY GROUP, INC. repeats and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein. Each of the Defendants is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a manufacturer, distributor, promoter, or retailer of Caramel Coating, which includes but is not limited to "Forritos® Zumba Pica® CARAMEL COATING FOR APPLES ARTIFICIAL TAMARIND FLAVORED; NET WT. 12.8 OZ(0.8Lb)365g; Forritos® CUBRE MANZANAS; MADE BY: PRODUCTORA Y COMERCIALIZADORA DE PRODUCTOS S.A. DE C.V. CALLE ZEUS No. 1105 PARQUE INDUSTRIAL KALOS DEL PONIENTE, SANTA CATARINA, N.L. MÉXCO, C.P. 66370; Barcode: 7 03885 06312 1" ("COATING").
- 27. COATING contains LEAD.
- 28. Defendants knew or should have known that LEAD has been identified by the State of California as a chemical known to cause cancer and reproductive toxicity and therefore was subject to Proposition 65 warning requirements. Defendants were also informed of the presence of LEAD in COATING within Plaintiff's notice of alleged violations further discussed above at Paragraph 20.
- 29. Plaintiff's allegations regarding COATING concern "[c]onsumer products exposure[s]," which "is an exposure that results from a person's acquisition, purchase, storage, consumption, or other reasonably foreseeable use of a consumer good, or any exposure that results from receiving a consumer service." *Cal. Code Regs.* tit. 27, § 25602(b). COATING is a consumer product, and, as mentioned herein, exposures to lead took place as a result of such normal and foreseeable consumption and use.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that between September 5, 2011, and the present, each of the Defendants knowingly and intentionally exposed California

consumers of COATING, which Defendants manufactured, distributed, or sold as mentioned above, to LEAD without first providing any type of clear and reasonable warning of such to the exposed persons before the time of exposure. Defendants have distributed and sold COATING in California. Defendants know and intend that California consumers will use and consume COATING, thereby exposing them to LEAD. Defendants thereby violated Proposition 65.

- 31. The principal routes of exposure are through dermal contact, ingestion and inhalation.

 Persons sustain exposures by eating and consuming COATING, handling COATING without wearing gloves or any other personal protective equipment, or by touching bare skin or mucous membranes with gloves after handling COATING, as well as through direct and indirect hand to mouth contact, hand to mucous membrane, or breathing in particulate matter dispersed from COATING.
- 32. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each of Defendants' violations of Proposition 65 as to COATING have been ongoing and continuous to the date of the signing of this complaint, as Defendants engaged and continue to engage in conduct which violates Health and Safety Code section 25249.6, including the manufacture, distribution, promotion, and sale of COATING, so that a separate and distinct violation of Proposition 65 occurred each and every time a person was exposed to lead by COATING as mentioned herein.
- 33. Plaintiff is informed, believes, and thereon alleges that each violation of Proposition 65 mentioned herein is ever continuing. Plaintiff further alleges and believes that the violations alleged herein will continue to occur into the future.
- 34. Based on the allegations herein, Defendants are liable for civil penalties of up to \$2,500.00 per day per individual exposure to lead from COATING, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b).
- 35. Plaintiff has engaged in good faith efforts to resolve the claims alleged herein prior to filing this Complaint.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Plaintiff demands against each of the Defendants as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction mandating Proposition 65-compliant warnings;
- 2. Penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7, subdivision (b);
- 3. Costs of suit;

24-

- 4. Reasonable attorney fees and costs; and
- 5. Any further relief that the court may deem just and equitable.

Dated: March 17, 2015

YEROUSHALMI & YEROUSHLAMI

BY:

Reuben Yeroushalmi

Attorney for Plaintiff,

Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc.

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar	number, and address):	FOR COURT USE ONLY						
Reuben Yeroushalmi (SBN-193981)								
Yeroushalmi & Yeroushalmi 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 240W								
Beverly Hills, CA 90212		FILED						
TELEPHONE NO.: 310.623.1926	FAX NO.: 310.623,1930	A CAMP OF CAMOTIC						
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Consumer Advocacy		Superior Court of Country of Los Angeles						
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LO		l l						
STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	s Aligeles	MAR 17 2015						
MAILING ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		MAR 1 / ZUIS						
		Sherri R. Carter, Exacutive Officer/Clerk						
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles 90012		Sherri H. Caller, L. Deputy						
BRANCH NAME: Stanley Mosk Courth	ouse	By Myrna Beltran Deputy						
, CASE NAME:		/ Myrna Benian						
Consumer Advocacy Group, Inc. v. I	Bodega Latina Corporation et al.							
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:						
Unlimited Limited	Complex case designation	_						
(Amount (Amount	Counter Joinder	JUDGE: BC 5 7 5 8 1 1						
demanded demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defend	dant JUDGE: DV 0 1 0 0 1 4						
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)							
1								
	ow must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).						
1. Check one box below for the case type tha								
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation						
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)						
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)						
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)						
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)						
Asbestos (04)		Securities litigation (28)						
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)							
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)						
	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the						
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	` '	above listed provisionally complex case types (41)						
Non-Pi/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	,, ,						
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Cther real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment						
Civil rights (08)	<u>Unlawful Detainer</u>	Enforcement of judgment (20)						
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint						
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)						
Intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)						
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition						
Other Hori-Fill Divid tort (55)	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)						
Employment		Other petition (not specified above) (43)						
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)							
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)							
		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the						
factors requiring exceptional judicial mana	gement:							
a. Large number of separately repre	sented parties d. 🔙 Large numbe	er of witnesses						
b. Extensive motion practice raising	difficult or novel e. Coordination	with related actions pending in one or more court						
issues that will be time-consuming		ities, states, or countries, or in a federal court						
c. Substantial amount of documenta		postjudgment judicial supervision						
A. Cabatantial amount of documenta	r Gubstantiar p	osijaaginetit jaalelal sapel vision						
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	monetary b. nonmonetary;	declaratory or injunctive relief c. punitive						
4. Number of causes of action (specify): 1	•							
	ss action suit.							
6. If there are any known related cases, file a		may use form T.M. 015.)						
•	and dolled a notice of related case. (100	may ago form own of the						
Date: 03/17/2014	. /							
Reuben Yeroushalmi								
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY						
District of the second	NOTICE	- (
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the								
1 4 6 1 4	vvenare and institutions code). (Cal. Ru	les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result						
 in sanctions. File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required by local court rule. 								
If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the California Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all								
other parties to the action or proceeding.								
 Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover sheet will be used for statistical purposes only. 								

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party. its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property; services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3,400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiffs designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex.

```
Auto Tort
```

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)

Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death

Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)

Medical Malpractice (45)

Medical Malpractice-

Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care

Malpractice

Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip

and fall)

Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD

(e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of

Emotional Distress

Negligent Infliction of

Emotional Distress Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort

0

- Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07)

Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination,

false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08)

Defamation (e.g., slander, libel)

(13)Fraud (16)

...Intellectual Property (19)

Professional Negligence (25)

Legal Malpractice

Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06)

Breach of Rental/Lease

Contract (not unlawful detainer or wronaful eviction)

Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller

Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)

Negligent Breach of Contract/

Warranty

Other Breach of Contract/Warranty

Collections (e.g., money owed, open

book accounts) (09)

Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff

Other Promissory Note/Collections

Case

Insurance Coverage (not provisionally

complex) (18)

Auto Subrogation

Other Coverage

Other Contract (37)

Contractual Fraud

Other Contract Dispute

Real Property

Eminent Domain/Inverse

Condemnation (14)

Wrongful Eviction (33)

Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26)

Writ of Possession of Real Property

Mortgage Foreclosure

Quiet Title

Other Real Property (not eminent

domain, landlord/tenant, or

foreclosure)

Unlawful Detainer

Commercial (31)

Residential (32)

Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)

Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11)

Writ of Mandate (02)
Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court

Case Matter

Writ-Other Limited Court Case

Review

Other Judicial Review (39)

Review of Health Officer Order

Notice of Appeal-Labor

Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)

Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)

Construction Defect (10)

Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)

Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)

Insurance Coverage Claims

(arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment

Enforcement of Judgment (20)

Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)

Confession of Judgment (non-

domestic relations)

Sister State Judgment

Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)

Petition/Certification of Entry of

Judgment on Unpaid Taxes

Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27)

Other Complaint (not specified above) (42)

Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-

harassment)

Mechanics Lien

Other Commercial Complaint

Case (non-tort/non-complex)

Other Civil Complaint

(non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate

Governance (21)
Other Petition (not specified

above) (43)

Civil Harassment

Workplace Violence

Elder/Dependent Adult

Abuse

Election Contest

Petition for Name Change

Petition for Relief From Late

Claim

Other Civil Petition

		D C						
SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER	AA	y	é	Ų,	Ø	1	I
Consumer Advocacy Group v. Bodega Latina Corporation								

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

JUR Item I Step the le Step Step	Check the types of her TRIAL? YES CLA I. Select the correct dist 1: After first completing the margin below, and, to the correct of the cor	earing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case: SS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 3-1 trict and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", ski and the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet he to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected for Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action rt location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.	DAY p to Item III, Pg. 4): heading for your case in d. e of this case.
	Applicab 1. Class Actions must be fil 2. May be filed in Central (C 3. Location where cause of 4. Location where bodily in 5. Location where performance	ed in the County Courthouse, Central District. Other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). 6. Location of property or perms 7. Location where petitioner res	anently garaged vehicle. ides. respondent functions wholly. of the parties reside. oner Office.
•	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	1., 2., 4.	
Aut	Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
> + -	Asbestos (04)	☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage ☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
Propert eath To	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
al Injury/Propert ongful Death Tor	Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45)	 □ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice 	1., 2., 3., 4., 8. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
	Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Other Personal Injury	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
	Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., 	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4.
Damage	Product Liability (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23) Business Tort (07)	 □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress 	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3.
Damage	(23)	 □ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons □ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice □ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress □ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.
Non-Personal Injury/Property Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Business Tort (07)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice ☐ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) ☐ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) ☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death ☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 4.

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage	Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)
Non-Pe	Wrong

Employment

Contract

Real Property

Judicial Review Unlawful Detainer

SHORT TITLE:							CASE NUMBER
Consumer	Advocacy	Group v	7. Bodega	Latina	Corporation	Bir ott sill	1779 T. WASH.

Negligence	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice	
	A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
(15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections	 □ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff □ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case 	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
(37)	 □ A6009 Contractual Fraud □ A6031 Tortious Interference □ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence) 	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	 □ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure □ A6032 Quiet Title □ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure) 	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	. A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)		
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	. A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Folientie (60)	□ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case □ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 6.

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
Consumer Advocacy Group v. Bodega Latina Corporation	

nt'd.)	A Civil Case Cover Sheet					
Col	Category No.	(Check only one)	See Step 3 Above			
3		A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2., 8.			
vie	Writ of Mandate	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2.			
I Re	(02)	☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court-Case Review	2.			
Judicial Review (Cont'd.)	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.			
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.			
olex :	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.			
Comp	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	☐ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.			
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.			
ovisio L	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.			
4	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.			
		A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.			
	Feferenant	☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.			
Entorcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment .	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.			
cen dgn	(20)	. A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.			
Ju j	, ,	☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax				
ᅙ		☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8. 2., 8., 9.			
Civil ,	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.			
22		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1 2 0			
Miscellaneous Complaint	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	1., 2., 8.			
Tan Lab	⊾(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint-Case (non-tort/non-complex)	2., 8.			
္က လို 🖺	(42)	☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.			
Š			1., 2., 8.			
s T	Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.			
tion		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.			
Tet -		A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.			
<u> </u>	· Other Petitions	A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.			
5 3	(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.			
,	(43)	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.			
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	1	☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.			
<u>=</u>		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.			

SHORT TITLE: Consumer Advocacy Grou	p v. Bodega	a Latina Corpora	ation e : : : :	CASE NUMBER
T .				residence or place of business, performance, or eason for filing in the court location you selected.
REASON: CHECK THE WHICH APPL	NUMBER UNDE LIES IN THIS CA		ADDRESS: 9100 Wilsh	ire Blvd. Suite 240W
□1. 22. 23. □4. □5.	□1. №2. №3. □4. □5. □6. □7. □8. □9. □10.			
CITY: Beverly Hills	STATE: CA	ZIP CODE: 90212		
			-	
Item IV. Declaration of Assignative and correct and that the a			•	aws of the State of California that the foregoing is at to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the
			_	. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.0,
subds. (b), (c) and (d)).				
Dated: March 17, 2014	. , . ,			(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARKY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

 J^{*}